Low order approximation of flexible structures

S Fatemeh Sharifi Supervisor : Prof.dr. H.J. Zwart

s.f.sharifi@utwente.nl

University of Twente

August 4, 2021





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 765579.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

- 2 Mathematical modeling
 - Cauchy Problem
 - Boundary Control Problem
- 3 Numerical case studies
 - One-dimensional Wave equation
 - Kirchhoff plate model

4 Conclusion

5 Publications

Introduction Mather	matical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
• 0000	0000	000000	0	000

Motion system description

Motivation

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
•	000000	000000	0	000

Motion system description

- Motivation
- System description in frequency domain

$$G(s) = \frac{G_2}{s^2} + \frac{G_1}{s} + \underbrace{G_0 + G_{st}(s)}_{G_{litex}(s)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1)

Definition

For the system described by the transfer function (1), we define the compliance function by the following limit:

$$G_0 = \lim_{s \to 0} \left(G(s) - \frac{G_2}{s^2} - \frac{G_1}{s} \right).$$
 (2)

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
•	000000	000000	0	000

Motion system description

- Motivation
- System description in frequency domain

$$G(s) = \frac{G_2}{s^2} + \frac{G_1}{s} + \underbrace{G_0 + G_{st}(s)}_{G_{litex}(s)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1)

Definition

For the system described by the transfer function (1), we define the compliance function by the following limit:

$$G_0 = \lim_{s \to 0} \left(G(s) - \frac{G_2}{s^2} - \frac{G_1}{s} \right).$$
 (2)

$$\omega_{assumed}(t) = \omega_2 \frac{t^2}{2} + \omega_1 t + \omega_0 + \omega_{st}(t). \tag{3}$$

Introduction O	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion O	Publications

Cauchy problem

Let X and U be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The general damped model to be considered is described as:

$$\ddot{\omega}(t) + d\mathcal{A}_0 \dot{\omega}(t) + \mathcal{A}_0 \omega(t) = Bu(t),$$

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\omega}(0) = 0,$$
(4)

where $A_0 : \mathbf{D}(A_0) \subseteq X \to X$ be a self-adjoint, non-negative operator with a compact resolvent. $B : U \to X$.

Cauchy problem

Let X and U be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. The general damped model to be considered is described as:

$$\ddot{\omega}(t) + d\mathcal{A}_0 \dot{\omega}(t) + \mathcal{A}_0 \omega(t) = Bu(t),$$

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\omega}(0) = 0,$$
(4)

where $A_0 : \mathbf{D}(A_0) \subseteq X \to X$ be a self-adjoint, non-negative operator with a compact resolvent. $B : U \to X$.

$$\dot{z}(t) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -\mathcal{A}_0 & -d\mathcal{A}_0 \end{bmatrix}}_{A_0} z(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B \end{bmatrix} u(t),$$

where $z = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \in Z := \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times X$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0) = \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0) \times \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0^{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
	000000			

$$X = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \cdots, \varphi_{n+1}, \cdots\}.$$

By taking $u(t) = u_0, t \ge 0$ and the assumed output, the following set of equations are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_2 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_1 + d\mathcal{A}_0 \omega_2 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_0 + d\mathcal{A}_0 \omega_1 + \omega_2 &= Bu_0, \\ \ddot{\omega}_{st}(t) + d\mathcal{A}_0 \dot{\omega}_{st}(t) + \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_{st}(t) &= 0, \\ \omega_{st}(0) &= -\omega_0, \quad \dot{\omega}_{st}(0) &= -\omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
	000000			

$$X = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \cdots, \varphi_{n+1}, \cdots\}.$$

By taking $u(t) = u_0, t \ge 0$ and the assumed output, the following set of equations are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_2 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_1 + d\mathcal{A}_0 \omega_2 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_0 + d\mathcal{A}_0 \omega_1 + \omega_2 &= Bu_0, \\ \ddot{\omega}_{st}(t) + d\mathcal{A}_0 \dot{\omega}_{st}(t) + \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_{st}(t) &= 0, \\ \omega_{st}(0) &= -\omega_0, \quad \dot{\omega}_{st}(0) &= -\omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k, \quad \text{with } \begin{cases} \lambda_k > 0, & \text{if } k \ge N+1 \\ \lambda_k = 0, & 1 \le k \le N \end{cases}$$

where λ_k are the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_0 .

,

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	000000	000000	0	000

Theorem

$$\omega_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k \varphi_k \quad \omega_1 = \mathbf{0}, \quad \omega_0 = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \varphi_k,$$

where

$$\alpha_{k} = \langle \varphi_{k}, B u_{0} \rangle, \quad \beta_{k} = \frac{\langle \varphi_{k}, B u_{0} \rangle}{\lambda_{k}}$$

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	000000	000000	0	000

Theorem

$$\omega_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k \varphi_k \quad \omega_1 = \mathbf{0}, \quad \omega_0 = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_k \varphi_k,$$

where

$$\alpha_{k} = \langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle, \quad \beta_{k} = \frac{\langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle}{\lambda_{k}}.$$

Frequency domain approach
 The transfer function from *u* to ω of (4) equals

$$G(s) = (s^2 + s d\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_0)^{-1}B.$$

since $\omega(s) = G(s)u_0$ and using the orthonormal basis of *X*,

$$\omega(\boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, \omega(\boldsymbol{s}) \rangle \varphi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \varphi_k, \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{u}_0 \rangle}{\boldsymbol{s}^2 + \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{d} \lambda_k + \lambda_k} \varphi_k.$$

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	000000	000000	0	000

Frequency domain approach

By separating the calculation for $\lambda_k = 0$ and $\lambda_k > 0$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \omega(\boldsymbol{s}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \langle \varphi_{k}, \omega \rangle \varphi_{k} + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_{k}, \omega \rangle \varphi_{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle}{\boldsymbol{s}^{2}} \varphi_{k} + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{k}}{\boldsymbol{s} - \mu_{k,1}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{b}_{k}}{\boldsymbol{s} - \mu_{k,2}} \right] \varphi_{k}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$G_{2}u_{0} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle \phi_{k}, \quad G_{1} = 0,$$

$$G_{0}u_{0} = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle}{\mu_{k,1}\mu_{k,2}} \varphi_{k} = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \varphi_{k}, Bu_{0} \rangle}{\lambda_{k}} \varphi_{k}.$$

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	0000000	000000	0	000

Boundary control problem Let's consider:

$$\ddot{\omega}(t) + d\mathcal{A}\dot{\omega}(t) + \mathcal{A}\omega(t) = 0, \quad \omega(0) = 0, \\ \dot{\omega}(0) = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_{0}\omega(t) = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_{c}\omega(t) = u(t).$$
 (5)

Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	000000	000000	0	000

Boundary control problem Let's consider:

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\omega}(t) + d\mathcal{A}\dot{\omega}(t) + \mathcal{A}\omega(t) &= 0, \quad \omega(0) = 0, \\ \dot{\omega}(0) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_{0}\omega(t) &= 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_{c}\omega(t) &= u(t). \end{split}$$
(5)

$$\mathcal{A}_0=\mathcal{A}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}(\mathcal{A}_0)=\{z\in\boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}(\mathcal{A})\mid \mathcal{B}_0z=0 \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_cz=0\}.$$

Let's define $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ such that for all $u \in U$, $Bu \in D(A)$, the operator AB is an element of $\mathcal{L}(U, X)$ and,

$$\mathcal{B}_0 B u = 0$$
, and $\mathcal{B}_c B u = u$.

$$\langle z, \mathcal{A}x \rangle_X = \langle \mathcal{A}_0 z, x \rangle_X - \langle Qz, \mathcal{B}_c x \rangle_U, \quad x \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \ker \mathcal{B}_0, z \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0),$$

where Q is a linear operator from $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$ to U.

$$\ddot{v}(t) + d\mathcal{A}_0 \dot{v}(t) + \mathcal{A}_0 v(t) = -B\ddot{u}(t) - d\mathcal{A}B\dot{u}(t) - \mathcal{A}Bu(t), \quad (6)$$
$$v(0) = \epsilon - Bu_0, \dot{v}(0) = -B\dot{u}_0.$$

S.Fatemeh Sharifi University of Twente

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}\omega_2 &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathcal{A}\omega_1 + \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A}\omega_2 &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathcal{A}\omega_0 + \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A}\omega_1 + \omega_2 &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \ddot{\omega}_{st}(t) + \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A}\dot{\omega}_{st}(t) + \mathcal{A}\omega_{st}(t) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \omega_{st}(\mathbf{0}) &= \epsilon - \omega_0, \quad \dot{\omega}_{st}(\mathbf{0}) &= -\omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_0\omega_2=0, & \mathcal{B}_0\omega_1=0, & \mathcal{B}_0\omega_0=0, & \mathcal{B}_0\omega_{st}=0, \\ \mathcal{B}_c\omega_2=0, & \mathcal{B}_c\omega_1=0, & \mathcal{B}_c\omega_0=u_0, & \mathcal{B}_c\omega_{st}=0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem

$$\omega_{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} \varphi_{k} \quad \omega_{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \omega_{0} = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \varphi_{k},$$
$$\alpha_{k} = \langle u_{0}, \mathbf{Q} \varphi_{k} \rangle_{U}, \quad \beta_{k} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{Q} \varphi_{k}, u_{0} \rangle_{U}}{\lambda_{k}}.$$

S.Fatemeh Sharifi University of Twente

Low order approximation of flexible structures.

0 0000 00 ● 000000 0	000

Frequency domain approach

The transfer function from \dot{u} to ω equals

$$G(s)=-(s^2+sd\mathcal{A}_0+\mathcal{A}_0)^{-1}(s^2B+d\mathcal{A}Bs+\mathcal{A}B)+B.$$

$$\omega(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{s} d \langle Q\varphi_k, u_0 \rangle + \frac{1}{s^2} \langle Q\varphi_k, u_0 \rangle \right] \varphi_k + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\langle Q\varphi_k, u_0 \rangle \left(\frac{d\mu_{k,1} + 1}{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}} \frac{1}{s - \mu_{k,1}} + \frac{d\mu_{k,2} + 1}{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}} \frac{1}{s - \mu_{k,2}} \right) \right] \varphi_k.$$

	Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0 0000 00 000000 0 000	0	000000	000000	0	000

Frequency domain approach

The transfer function from \dot{u} to ω equals

$$G(s) = -(s^2 + s d\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_0)^{-1}(s^2B + d\mathcal{A}Bs + \mathcal{A}B) + B.$$

$$\begin{split} \omega(\boldsymbol{s}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{s} \boldsymbol{d} \langle \boldsymbol{Q} \varphi_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \rangle + \frac{1}{s^{2}} \langle \boldsymbol{Q} \varphi_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \rangle \right] \varphi_{k} + \\ &\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\langle \boldsymbol{Q} \varphi_{k}, \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \rangle \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{d} \mu_{k,1} + 1}{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}} \frac{1}{s - \mu_{k,1}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{d} \mu_{k,2} + 1}{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}} \frac{1}{s - \mu_{k,2}} \right) \right] \varphi_{k}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$G_2 u_0 = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle Q \varphi_k, u_0 \rangle \varphi_k, \quad G_1 u_0 = dG_2 u_0,$$

$$G_0 u_0 = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \mathbf{Q} \varphi_k, u_0 \rangle}{\lambda_k} \varphi_k.$$

0	Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
	0	000000	• 00 000	0	000

One-dimensional Wave equation

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x^2} + d \frac{\partial^3 \omega}{\partial t \partial x^2}, \\ &\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(1,t) = u_0. \\ &\omega(x,0^+) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}(x,0^+) = 0 \end{split}$$

Time domain approach

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1 = 0\\ \mathcal{A}_0 \omega_2 = 0, \\ \mathcal{A} \omega_0 + \omega_2 = 0, \end{cases} \rightarrow \omega_2(x) = -u_0, \quad \omega_0(x) = -u_0 \frac{x^2}{2} + C_4.$$

• Extra condition $\omega_{st}(x, 0^+) = -\omega_0(x)$ So ω_0 lies in the stable part of system $\int_0^1 \omega_{st}(t=0) dx = \int_0^1 -\omega_0(x) dx = 0, \rightarrow \omega_0(x) = \frac{1}{6}u_0(-1+3x^2).$

Wave equation-Time domain approach



Introduction O	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion O	Publications

Wave equation-Frequency domain approach

$$s^{2}W(s,x) = (ds+1)\frac{d^{2}W(s,x)}{dx^{2}},$$
$$\frac{dW(s,0)}{dx} = 0, \quad \frac{dW(s,1)}{dx} = u_{1}.$$
(7)
$$G(s) = \frac{\sqrt{1+ds}\cosh(\frac{sx}{\sqrt{1+ds}})}{s\sinh(\frac{s}{\sqrt{1+ds}})},$$
For all $s \in \Omega = \left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid s \neq \frac{-dk^{2}\pi^{2}\pm k\pi\sqrt{d^{2}k^{2}\pi^{2}-4}}{2}, i = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\right\}$

~

Introduction O	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies ○○●○○○	Conclusion O	Publications
Wave ec	uation-Freque	ency domain ar	oproach	

$$s^{2}W(s,x) = (ds+1)\frac{d^{2}W(s,x)}{dx^{2}},$$

$$\frac{dW(s,0)}{dx} = 0, \quad \frac{dW(s,1)}{dx} = u_{1}.$$
 (7)

2111(a v)

$$G(s) = \frac{\sqrt{1+ds}\cosh(\frac{sx}{\sqrt{1+ds}})}{s\sinh(\frac{s}{\sqrt{1+ds}})},$$

For all $s \in \Omega = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid s \neq \frac{-dk^2 \pi^2 \pm k\pi \sqrt{d^2 k^2 \pi^2 - 4}}{2}, i = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \right\}.$ $G_2(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} (s^2 G(s)) = 1,$

$$G_{1}(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} s(G(s) - \frac{G_{2}}{s^{2}}) = d,$$

$$G_{0}(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} (G(s) - \frac{G_{2}}{s^{2}} - \frac{d}{s}) = \frac{1}{6}(-1 + 3x^{2}).$$

Kirchhoff plate model

$$\rho h \ddot{\omega} + \underbrace{\kappa^{T}[D] \kappa}_{\mathcal{A}} \omega + c_{d} \underbrace{\kappa^{T}[D] \kappa}_{\mathcal{A}} \dot{\omega} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Omega \times [\mathbf{0}, \infty)$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$[D] = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(1-\nu) \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\nu}$$

Kirchhoff plate model-Time domain approach

$$\kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\omega_{2} = 0,$$

$$\kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\omega_{1} + d\kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\omega_{2} = 0,$$

$$\kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\omega_{0} + \omega_{2} = 0,$$

$$\ddot{\omega}_{st}(t) + c_{d}\kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\dot{\omega}_{st}(t) + \kappa^{T}[D]\kappa\omega_{st}(t) = 0,$$

$$\omega_{st}(0) = \epsilon - \omega_{0}, \quad \dot{\omega}_{st}(0) = 0.$$

Boundary control problem

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \kappa^T [D] \kappa$$
 with $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}_0) = \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \ker \mathcal{B}$.

■ *Bu* is defined by $Bu = b(x, y)u_0$ where b(x, y) is a function and is contained in the domain of A with $BBu_0 = u_0 \quad \forall u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and AB = I.

$$\mathcal{A}_0\omega_0 + \omega_2 = u_0 \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}(x, y).$$
$$\Delta^2 B(x, y) = 1.$$

Kirchhoff plate model-numerical solution

Inertia function ω_2 ¹



Numerical simulation is performed by Daniel **V**eldman, a graduate PhD student from Eindhoven University of technology, The Netherlands.

Kirchhoff plate model-numerical solution

Inertia function ω_2 ¹



Compliance function ω_0 ¹



Numerical simulation is performed by Daniel **V**eldman, a graduate PhD student from Eindhoven University of technology, The Netherlands.

Introduction O	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications

Conclusion

Conclusion

- A general abstract formulation for the mechanical flexible system is studied at low frequency.
- The low order approximation is investigated for the boundary control systems.
- Numerical solution for the compliance function of the Kirchhoff plate is studied.

Publications and Secondments

Publications

- "Time domain approach toward the calculation of the compliance function of flexible motion systems " presented at 21st IFAC World Congress, Germany, July 11-17, 2020.
- "Linear-time-varying feedforward control for position-dependent flexible structures" presented virtually at European Control Conference (ECC 2020), 12-15 May, 2020.
- "Compliance feedforward of flexible structures" presented at the 38th Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control, Lommel, Belgium, 19 – 21 March, 2019.

Publications and Secondments

Publications

- "Time domain approach toward the calculation of the compliance function of flexible motion systems " presented at 21st IFAC World Congress, Germany, July 11-17, 2020.
- "Linear-time-varying feedforward control for position-dependent flexible structures" presented virtually at European Control Conference (ECC 2020), 12-15 May, 2020.
- "Compliance feedforward of flexible structures" presented at the 38th Benelux Meeting on Systems and Control, Lommel, Belgium, 19 – 21 March, 2019.

Seco	ondments			
	Secondments	Supervisor's name	Date	
	Claude Bernard University ASML	Prof.dr. B. Maschke Dr.ir. M. Heertjes	M16 M23	

My future next journey



Introduction	Mathematical modeling	Numerical case studies	Conclusion	Publications
0	000000	000000	0	000

Thank You !